

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/940,273	08/27/2001	Gust H. Bardy	032580.0027.UTL	5279
28075 7	590 07/12/2004		EXAMINER	
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC			DROESCH, KRISTEN L	
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			3762	

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/940,273	BARDY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kristen Droesch	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 May 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-219</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-52 and 137-219 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>53-75 and 77-94</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>76,95,97-115 and 119-136</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 96 and 116-118 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 May 2004</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) Ine oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-192.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau		od III ii iio i talional elage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/11/04</u> .	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The indicated allowability of claim 76 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Natarajan at al. (6,501,983). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.
- 2. The finality of the last office action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 76 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Natarajan at al. (6,501,983). Natarajan at al. shows a housing (230), an electrical circuit located within the housing, a first electrode (V1) coupled to the electrical circuit, wherein the first electrode is positioned at a first point with respect to the patient's heart, and a second electrode (V6) coupled to the electrical circuit.wherein the second electrode is positioned at a second point that is substantially on the opposite side of the patient's heart from the first point, wherein the housing further comprises a first end and a second end, wherein the first electrode is located on the first end of the housing and the second electrode is located on the second end of the housing (Fig. 2c).

Art Unit: 3762

The functional language and introductory statement of intended use have been carefully considered but are not considered to impart any further structural limitations over the prior art.

5. Claims 95, 105, 119, 126-136 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Causey III (5,411,547).

With respect to claims 95 and 105, Causey III shows an implantable cardioverter defibrillator comprising a housing (28) an electrical circuit located within the housing, a first subcutaneous electrode (24) coupled to the electrical circuit; and a second subcutaneous electrode (26) coupled to the electrical circuit, wherein the second electrode is spaced from the first electrode by a length and wherein a cardioversion-defibillation energy is delivered between the first and the second subcutaneous electrodes (Fig. 4).

Regarding claim119, Causey III shows the second electrode is located on a lead (Fig. 4).

With respect to claim 136, Causey III shows the degree of separation of the first and second subcutaneous electrode is approximately 150 degrees to approximately 180 degrees (Fig. 4).

The functional language and statements of intended use (such that etc.) have been carefully considered but are not considered to impart any further structural limitations over the prior art.

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 97-100, 114-115, 120-125, 132-135 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as 7. being unpatentable over Causey III (5,411,547). Causey III discloses the claimed invention except for the specific dimensions of the housing and first electrode, and the length of the lead. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to size the length of the canister between approximately 3 cm to 30 cm long, approximately 5 cm to 20 cm long, or approximately 5 cm to 12 cm long; the depth of the canister to be less than 15 mm; a portion of the first electrode is curved; and the area of the first electrode to be less than 1000 mm² or 2000 mm²; the length of the lead to be approximately 5 cm to 55 cm, approximately 5 cm to 15 cm, approximately 15 cm to 25 cm, approximately 25 cm to 35 cm, approximately 35 cm to 45 cm, approximately 45 cm to 55 cm; the degree of separation between the first electrode and the second electrode with respect to the heart to be between approximately 30 and 90 degrees, approximately 90 and 120 degrees, and approximately 120 and 150 degrees, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 UPSQ 237 (CCPA 1955).
- 8. Claims 101-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Causey III (5,411,547) in view of Mouchawar (5,601,608). Causey III discloses the

Art Unit: 3762

claimed invention except for setting forth the specific waveforms utilized for defibrillation. Mouchawar teaches that monophasic and biphasic (multiphasic) defibrillation waveforms are well known (Figs. 5, 7, Col. 7, lines 12-58). Mouchawar also teaches that using a tri-phasic (multiphasic) charge balanced defibrillation waveform reduces post-shock block, and it was determined experimentally that the defibrillation threshold using a tri-phasic charge balanced defibrillation waveform was superior to the defibrillation threshold using conventional and charge balanced biphasic shocks (Col. 10, lines 45-67; Col. 11, lines 16-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Causey III to include the well known monophasic or biphasic (multiphasic) defibrillation waveforms or the advantageous triphasic (multiphasic) charge balanced defibrillation waveform of Mouchawar in order to utilize well known defibrillation waveforms or to reduce post-shock block and utilize a waveform having a lower defibrillation threshold than conventional and charge balanced biphasic shocks.

9. Claims 106-111 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Causey III (5411,547) and further in view of Ostroff (5,215,081). Causey III is as explained before. Although Causey III fails to specify the desirable shock energy for shocking the patient's heart, attention is directed to Ostroff who teaches that the cardioversion-defibrillation energy is directly related to capacitance, shock duration, voltage, and resistance of the electrodes which in turn is dependent on electrode position and integrity (Col. 5, lines 50-56). It would have obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the ranges of shock energies set forth in the claims, since it is well known in the art that these factors are related to one another,

Art Unit: 3762

and the ultimate energy delivered to the heart is dependent on these factors along with the resistance measured between the electrodes.

Claims 112-113 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Causey III (5411,547) and further in view of Yang (5,476,503). Causey III is as explained before. Although Causey III fails to show the first electrode can receive sensory information, attention is directed to Yang, which teaches a defibrillation electrode including sensor electrodes (Fig. 2; Col. 5, lines 40-46). Yang teaches that the defibrillation electrode including sensor electrodes allows for a substantial increase in the capabilities of the defibrillator and allows the sensing of normal cardiac depolarization activity and abnormal depolarization cardiac activity (Col. 5, lines 47-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electrode of Causey III to include sensing electrodes or sensing capabilities as Yang teaches in order to allow for a substantial increase in the capabilities of the defibrillator and the sensing of normal cardiac depolarization activity and abnormal depolarization cardiac activity.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claims 53-75, and 77-94 are allowed.
- 12. Claim 96, and 116-118 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 96, and 116, the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest an implantable cardioverter defibrillator comprising a housing; a first subcutaneous electrode and a second subcutaneous electrode coupled to an electrical circuit located

Art Unit: 3762

within the housing, wherein the second electrode is spaced from the first electrode by a length and wherein a cardioversion-defibillation energy is delivered between the first and the second subcutaneous electrodes all in combination with the first subcutaneous electrode being disposed on the housing

With respect to claim 117, the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest an implantable cardioverter defibrillator comprising a housing; a first subcutaneous electrode and a second subcutaneous electrode coupled to an electrical circuit located within the housing, wherein the second electrode is spaced from the first electrode by a length and wherein a cardioversion-defibillation energy is delivered between the first and the second subcutaneous electrodes all in combination with the second subcutaneous electrode being located on the housing.

Regarding claim 118, the prior art of record fails to teach or suggest an implantable cardioverter defibrillator comprising a housing; a first subcutaneous electrode and a second subcutaneous electrode coupled to an electrical circuit located within the housing, wherein the second electrode is spaced from the first electrode by a length and wherein a cardioversion-defibillation energy is delivered between the first and the second subcutaneous electrodes all in combination with the housing having a fist end and a second end and the first electrode being located on the first end of the housing and the second electrode being located on the second end of the housing.

Art Unit: 3762

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Padmanabhan et al. (6,508,771) shows an implantable device with electrodes located at both ends (Fig. 3). Klein et al. (5,987,352) shows an implantable device with electrodes located at various positions of the device (Figs. 5-8,13-14).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kristen Droesch whose telephone number is 703-605-1185. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kld

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Ongel. D. Ah